Wigner localization in quantum dots from Kohn-Sham density functional theory without symmetry breaking
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We address low-density two-dimensional circular quantum dots with spin-restricted Kohn-Sham density functional theory. By using an exchange-correlation functional that encodes the effects of the strongly correlated regime (and that becomes exact in the limit of infinite correlation), we are able to reproduce characteristic phenomena such as the formation of ring structures in the electronic total density, preserving the fundamental circular symmetry of the system. The observation of this and other well-known effects in Wigner-localized quantum dots such as the flattening of the addition energy spectra has until now only been within the scope of other, numerically more demanding theoretical approaches.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The effects of strong electronic correlation in low-dimensional semiconductor nanostructures have attracted large research interest for decades, both from purely fundamental and from applied points of view [1–5]. The high degree of tunability of, e.g., quantum wires or quantum dots, nowadays easily realized in laboratories [4,5], renders them a fertile playground to investigate strong-correlation phenomena. For example, it is well known that, for sufficiently low densities, such finite systems may display charge localization [6–12], reminiscent of the Wigner crystallization of the bulk electron gas [13] and a consequence of the dominance of the Coulomb repulsion over the electronic kinetic energy. From the practical side, potential applications of Wigner-localized systems include the design and manipulation of qubits and quantum computing devices [3,14–16], or the realization of infrared sensors to control the electron filling in semiconductor nanostructures [17].

Along with the fundamental and practical interest, strongly correlated systems are well known to pose serious challenges for the different theoretical approaches commonly used to study them. On the one hand, the configuration interaction (CI) method becomes numerically unaffordable if one wants to treat more than five or six electrons [12,18,19]. By using coupled-cluster methods, which allow for a larger basis set, it has very recently been shown that the number of particles can be raised up to 12 in two-dimensional quantum dots [20]. Other wave-function approaches, such as quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methods [1,2,12] or density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [21], can treat larger systems (still less than \( \sim 10^3 \) particles) but face limitations as well if the correlations become too strong [12]. On the other hand, spin-unrestricted Hartree-Fock (HF) [3,8] or density functional [22] approaches, much less computationally demanding, mimic the effects of strong correlation by breaking the spin and other symmetries of the system. This makes them much less reliable than wave-function methods, sometimes with unphysical results and controversial interpretations [21–24].

Kohn-Sham (KS) density functional theory (DFT), in its original restricted formulation [25,26], has been known for a long time to deliver very poor results when applied to strongly correlated systems. The reason for this is not fundamental, as KS DFT is, in principle, an exact theory. The problem is that the available approximations for the exchange-correlation functional fail in the strongly correlated regime [12,23,27,28], sometimes making it extremely difficult to even get converged results at all [29]. For example, the local-density approximation (LDA) wrongly predicts largely delocalized electronic densities in strongly correlated quantum wires [28], being unable to reproduce the expected \( N \)-electron-peak structure due to charge localization [28].

Recently, a novel way of constructing exchange-correlation functionals for KS DFT has been proposed [30,31], based on the exact strong-coupling limit of DFT, which was formulated a few years ago within the so-called strictly correlated electrons (SCE) formalism [32–34]. The first applications on quasi-one-dimensional quantum wires [30,31] have shown that the resulting exchange-correlation functional is able to qualitatively describe arbitrary correlation regimes without artificially breaking any symmetry. This is achieved since the SCE functional is able to create barriers (or “bumps”) in the corresponding Kohn-Sham potential, which are a known feature of the exact one [35,36]. Precisely these barriers can localize the charge density, avoiding the need of symmetry breaking to describe systems in which charge localization effects are important.

In this paper, we extend this approach to the study of two-dimensional circularly symmetric quantum dots with parabolic confinement. By considering different confinement strengths, we investigate the crossover between the weakly interacting and the strongly correlated regimes. In particular, we reproduce well-known features of low-density quantum dots such as the formation of sharp rings in the electronic density [1,12] or the flattening of the addition energy spectra [8]. Due to the relatively low computational cost of our approach, we thus provide here an alternative powerful tool to study these kinds of systems.

II. QUANTUM DOT MODEL

We consider two-dimensional (2D) quantum dots with \( N \) electrons, laterally confined by a parabolic potential and
described by the Hamiltonian (see, e.g., Ref. [18])

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla_i^2 + m^*\omega^2 r_i^2 \right) + e^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|},$$

where $m^*$ is the effective mass and $\epsilon$ is the dielectric constant.

We use effective Hartree (H\textsuperscript{*}) units ($h = 1$, $a_0^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^*\omega^2} = 1$, $\epsilon = 1$, $m^* = 1$) throughout the rest of the paper.

The correlation regime is determined by the confinement strength: small (large) values of $\omega$ correspond to low (high) densities, for which the Coulomb repulsion dominates over (is dominated by) the kinetic energy. In order to characterize the correlation quantitatively, one defines the so-called electron-gas parameter. In two dimensions it is given in terms of the electronic density as $\alpha = (\pi \hbar^2)^{-1/2}$, where $\hbar = \int \rho(r)^2 d\mathbf{r}/N$ is the average electron density. In the first calculations presented here, we have considered $N = 1, \ldots, 10$ and $\omega \in [0.001, 1]$, corresponding to values of $\alpha$ between $\sim 1$ and 68.

In the noninteracting case, the eigenfunctions of the system are the so-called Fock-Darwin states [37], with associated energies given by

$$\varepsilon_{n,m} = 2\alpha \left( n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|m|}{2} \right),$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are, respectively, the angular and radial quantum numbers.

### III. THEORETICAL APPROACH

#### A. KS DFT with the SCE functional

We use the zero-th order “KS-SCE DFT” approach, which was introduced in Ref. [30] and described in more detail in Ref. [31]. Essentially, the method consists of solving the standard spin-restricted Kohn-Sham equations [26],

$$\left( -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + v_{KS}[\rho](\mathbf{r}) \right) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r}),$$

where $v_{KS}[\rho](\mathbf{r})$ is the Kohn-Sham potential

$$v_{KS}[\rho](\mathbf{r}) = v_{ext}[\rho](\mathbf{r}) + v_{Hartree}[\rho](\mathbf{r}) + v_{xc}[\rho](\mathbf{r}),$$

and $v_{xc}[\rho](\mathbf{r})$ is an approximate exchange-correlation potential that is constructed from the functional derivative of the exact strong-interaction limit of the Hohenberg-Kohn functional. The resulting potential is able to capture the features of the strongly correlated regime without introducing any spin or spatial symmetry breaking in the system. Below we briefly describe how the functional and the potential are built, and we refer the reader to Ref. [31] for further details.

The SCE functional $V_{ee}^{\text{SCE}}[\rho]$ of Seidl and co-workers [32,38–40] is defined as the minimum possible electron-electron repulsion in a given smooth density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$:

$$V_{ee}^{\text{SCE}}[\rho] \equiv \min_{\Psi = \rho} \langle \Psi | \hat{V}_{ee} | \Psi \rangle,$$

where $\hat{V}_{ee}$ is the Coulomb repulsion operator, i.e., the last term in Eq. (1). It can be shown that in the low-density (or strong-interaction) limit, the Hohenberg-Kohn functional tends asymptotically to $V_{ee}^{\text{SCE}}[\rho]$ [31]. The SCE functional is the natural counterpart of the KS kinetic energy $T_{KS}[\rho]$; the latter defines a reference system of noninteracting electrons with the same density of the physical system, while the former introduces a reference system (again with the same density) in which the electrons are infinitely (or perfectly) correlated, in the sense that the position of one of them determines all the interparticle distances in order to minimize the total Coulomb repulsion.

Thus, in the SCE system, if one electron (which we can label as “1” and take as a reference) is at position $\mathbf{r}_1 \equiv \mathbf{r}$, the positions of the remaining $N-1$ electrons are given by the so-called co-motion functions, $\mathbf{r}_i \equiv f_i(\rho)(\mathbf{r}) (i = 2, \ldots, N)$, which are nonlocal functionals of the density. They satisfy the differential equation [32]

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} = \rho(f_i(\mathbf{r})) df_i(\mathbf{r}),$$

or, equivalently, are such that the probability of finding one electron at position $\mathbf{r}$ is the same as finding the electron $i$ at $f_i(\mathbf{r})$. The co-motion functions also satisfy the following cyclic group properties, which are a consequence of the indistinguishability of the electrons, ensuring that there is no dependence on which electron is chosen as reference [32]:

$$f_1(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \mathbf{r},$$

$$f_2(\mathbf{r}) \equiv f(\mathbf{r}),$$

$$f_3(\mathbf{r}) = f(f(\mathbf{r})),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f(f(\ldots f(f(\mathbf{r})))) \equiv \mathbf{r}.$$  

Notice that the SCE system describes a smooth $N$-electron quantum-mechanical density by means of an infinite superposition of degenerate classical configurations, which fulfills Eq. (6) for every $\mathbf{r}$. The square modulus of the corresponding wave function (which becomes a distribution in this limit [41,42]) is given by

$$|\Psi_{\text{SCE}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_N)|^2 = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\varphi} \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{N} \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - f_{\varphi(1)}(\mathbf{r})) \times \delta(\mathbf{r}_2 - f_{\varphi(2)}(\mathbf{r})) \cdots \delta(\mathbf{r}_N - f_{\varphi(N)}(\mathbf{r})).$$

where $\varphi$ denotes a permutation of $1, \ldots, N$, such that $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = N \int |\Psi_{\text{SCE}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_N)|^2 d\mathbf{r}_2 \cdots d\mathbf{r}_N$. The SCE system can thus be visualized as a “floating” Wigner crystal in a metric [43] that describes the smooth density distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r})$.

In terms of the co-motion functions, the SCE functional $V_{ee}^{\text{SCE}}[\rho]$ of Eq. (5) is given by [32,44]

$$V_{ee}^{\text{SCE}}[\rho] = \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \frac{1}{|f_i(\mathbf{r}) - f_j(\mathbf{r})|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - f_i(\mathbf{r})|}. $$
Another important property of the SCE system is the following: since the position of one electron at a given \( \mathbf{r} \) determines the other \( N-1 \) electronic positions, the net Coulomb repulsion acting on an electron at a certain position \( \mathbf{r} \) becomes a function of \( \mathbf{r} \) itself. This force can be written in terms of the negative gradient of some one-body local potential \( v_{\text{SCE}}(\mathbf{r}) \) [31], such that

\[
-\nabla v_{\text{SCE}}[\rho](\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i[\rho](\mathbf{r})}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i[\rho](\mathbf{r})|^3}.
\]

In turn, \( v_{\text{SCE}}[\rho](\mathbf{r}) \) satisfies the important exact relation [31]

\[
v_{\text{SCE}}[\rho](\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta V_{\text{SCE}}[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})},
\]

providing a very powerful shortcut for the construction of the functional derivative of \( V_{\text{SCE}}[\rho] \).

The “KS-SCE” DFT approach to zeroth order [31] consists of approximating the Hohenberg-Kohn functional as

\[
F[\rho] = T[\rho] + V_{\text{ex}}[\rho] \simeq T_0[\rho] + V_{\text{SCE}}[\rho],
\]

where \( T_0[\rho] \) is the usual noninteracting Kohn-Sham kinetic energy. By varying the total energy density functional

\[
E[\rho] \simeq T_0[\rho] + V_{\text{SCE}}[\rho] + \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) v_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}
\]

with respect to the KS orbitals, and using Eq. (11), we see that our approximation for the KS potential is

\[
v_{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r}) \simeq v_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{r}) + v_{\text{SCE}}(\mathbf{r})
\]

or, equivalently,

\[
v_{\text{Hartree}}(\mathbf{r}) + v_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{r}) \simeq v_{\text{SCE}}(\mathbf{r}).
\]

Equation (13) shows that the KS-SCE DFT approach treats both the kinetic energy and the electron-electron interaction on the same footing, letting the two terms compete in a self-consistent way within the Kohn-Sham scheme. It can be shown that the method becomes asymptotically exact both in the very weak and very strong correlation limits [30,31]. At intermediate correlation regimes it is expected to be less accurate, but still qualitatively correct, as has already been shown when applied to one-dimensional quantum wires [31].

### B. Practical implementation for circularly symmetric 2D quantum dots

The potential \( v_{\text{SCE}}(\mathbf{r}) \) can be obtained by integrating Eq. (10). This requires the calculation of the co-motion functions \( f_i(\mathbf{r}) \) for a given density \( \rho(\mathbf{r}) \) via the solution of Eq. (6).

For circularly symmetric two-dimensional systems, where the density depends only on the radial coordinate \( r \), the problem can be separated into a radial and an angular part [32,34]. The positions of the electrons, given by the co-motion functions, can then be expressed in polar coordinates as \( f_i(r,\theta) \equiv (f_i(r),\theta_i(r)) \), where the radial components satisfy Eq. (6) rewritten as [32,34]

\[
2\pi r \rho(r) dr = 2\pi f_i(r) \rho(f_i(r)) |f'_i(r)| dr.
\]

These equations for the \( f_i(r) \) can be solved by defining the function

\[
N_e(r) = \int_0^r 2\pi r' \rho(r') dr'
\]

and its inverse \( N_e^{-1} \). The radial coordinates of the co-motion functions are then given by [34]

\[
f_{2k}(r) = \begin{cases} N_e^{-1}(2k - N_e(r)), & r \leq a_{2k}, \\ N_e^{-1}(N_e(r) - 2k), & r > a_{2k}, \end{cases}
\]

\[
f_{2k+1}(r) = \begin{cases} N_e^{-1}(N_e(r) + 2k), & r \leq a_{N-2k}, \\ N_e^{-1}(2N - 2k - N_e(r)), & r > a_{N-2k}, \end{cases}
\]

where \( a_k = N_e^{-1}(k) \), and the integer index \( k \) runs from 1 to \((N-1)/2\) for odd \( N \), and from 1 to \((N-2)/2\) for even \( N \). In the latter case, the \( N \) th co-motion function is obtained separately via

\[
f_N(r) = N_e^{-1}(N - N_e(r)).
\]

Equations (17)–(19) show explicitly the nonlocal dependence of the \( f_{ij}(r) \) on \( \rho(r) \). One must then calculate the angular coordinates \( \theta_i(r) \) of the co-motion functions as a function of \( r \), the distance of one of the electrons from the center. These are obtained [32,34], for each value of \( r \), by minimizing the total electron-electron repulsion energy,

\[
E_{ee}(r) = \sum_{i \neq j} (f_i(r)^2 + f_j(r)^2 - 2 f_i(r) f_j(r) \cos \theta_{ij})^{-1/2},
\]

with respect to the relative angles \( \theta_{ij} = \theta_j - \theta_i \) between electrons \( i \) and \( j \) at positions \( (f_i(r),\theta_i) \) and \( (f_j(r),\theta_j) \).

In two-dimensional problems, the number of relative angles to minimize is equal to \( N - 1 \). In this first pilot implementation, whose primary goal is to check whether the KS-SCE method is able to correctly describe the physics of low-density quantum dots, this angular minimization is done at each radial grid point, numerically. Thus, in each cycle of the self-consistent KS problem we perform \( N_{\text{grid}} \) times a \((N - 1)\)-dimensional minimization, where \( N_{\text{grid}} \) is the number of grid points for the radial problem. As the angular minimization has also local minima, we proceed in the following way. For an initial nondegenerate radial configuration and given initial starting angles, we use the quasi-Newton Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) algorithm to find the closest local minimum. Then we change the radial position of the “first” electron in small discrete steps, calculate the radial positions of the remaining electrons via Eqs. (18) and (19), and repeatedly optimize the angles using the BFGS algorithm, with starting angles taken from the previous step. This procedure rests on the assumption that the optimal angles change continuously with the radial configuration. Our numerical calculations suggest that this assumption is reasonable. Of course, the remaining open question is how to choose the starting angles for the initial radial configuration. We have experimented with simulated annealing as global optimization strategy. However, in these pilot applications we found it more practical to choose \( N - 1 \) pairwise different numbers “by hand” and probe several permutations of these numbers as starting angles.
This strategy is by far not optimal, leaving space to several improvements that will be the object of future work. First of all, it should be noticed that the set of \( N \) radial distances is periodic, as each circular shell \( r \in [a_i, a_{i+1}] \) [with \( a_i = N^{-1/2}(i), \) \( i \in \mathbb{N} \)] corresponds to the same physical situation [32], simply describing a permutation of the set of distances occurring in the first shell \( r \in [0, a_1] \). Thus, by keeping track of the minimizing angles, and by re-adapting the grid in every circular shell, it is possible to do the angular minimization only \( N_{\text{grid}}/N \) times rather than \( N_{\text{grid}} \) times in each self-consistent field iteration. Another important point that needs to be further investigated is the actual sensitivity of the results to the accuracy of the angular minimization. The optimal angles are used to determine the SCE potential by integrating Eq. (10), and we observe that this potential is not so sensitive to little variations of the optimal values, although a systematic study needs to be carried out.

Overall, while in one dimension the SCE functional has a computational cost similar to LDA, in two dimensions the SCE is more expensive, because of the angular minimization. Still, its computational cost is much lower than that of wave-function methods. Evaluating the total electron-electron repulsion energy scales like \( O(N^2) \) since all pairs of electrons have to be taken into account. The number of grid points can be made almost \( N \) independent if we exploit the periodicity of the co-motion functions, so that one can always treat only one radial shell. With the local quasi-Newton scheme described above, we expect that the number of optimization steps increases moderately with \( N \), such that the time complexity of our algorithm scales polynomially in \( N \), with an exponent depending on how accurate the angular minimization needs actually to be. The storage requirements are also quite low compared to other methods like coupled cluster: storing all polar coordinates for the co-motion functions requires \( O(N_{\text{grid}} \times N) = O(N^2) \), which can be made linear in \( N \) if we exploit the periodicity of the SCE problem. In future work, we will focus on optimizing the algorithm, studying larger numbers of particles.

IV. RESULTS

A. One-electron densities

We have solved the self-consistent Kohn-Sham equations (3) with the SCE potential for different values of the particle number \( N \) and the confinement strength \( \omega \).

As mentioned, the main objective of this work is to show that KS DFT with the SCE functional is able to capture the features of the strongly correlated regime without breaking any symmetry. A systematic comparison of the KS-SCE accuracy with available wave-function results, as well as the optimization of the algorithm, will be the object of future work, where higher-order corrections to the SCE functional will also be developed and tested. Nonetheless, we want to provide an impression for the kind of quantitative accuracy that can be expected from our results. We thus compare, in Fig. 1, the quantum Monte Carlo densities of Refs. [1,2] for three-electron fully spin polarized quantum dots in the strongly correlated regime with those obtained with our approach (both fully and non-spin-polarized). It can be seen that already for \( \omega = 0.005 \) the qualitative agreement is rather good, and that there is a small difference between the spin polarized and unpolarized KS-SCE densities. As the correlation increases with smaller \( \omega = 0.001 \), this difference becomes almost negligible as one would expect, and the agreement between our results and QMC improves. It should be mentioned, however, that in contrast to the QMC calculations at these densities, the KS-SCE energy for the unpolarized cases has slightly lower energy than the spin-polarized solution. We attribute this discrepancy to the fact that the SCE functional, being intrinsically of classical nature, is spin independent and therefore unable to yield the lowest energy by occupying three different KS orbitals with the same spin. In future works we plan to add magnetic exchange and superexchange corrections to the SCE functional, which should allow the method to recognize the fully spin polarized solution as the ground-state one. Quantitatively, the KS-SCE total energy has an error, with respect to QMC, of about 6 mH \( \sim \) 6% at \( \omega = 0.005 \) and of about 1 mH \( \sim \) 4% at \( \omega = 0.001 \). Notice that, while the fixed-node diffusion Monte Carlo provides an upper bound to the ground-state energy, the KS-SCE self-consistent energies are always a rigorous lower bound to the exact ground-state energy [30,31].

We now illustrate and discuss the physical features of our results for the electronic densities, going from weakly to strongly correlated quantum dots. Figure 2 shows the self-consistent KS-SCE densities for quantum dots with \( N = 10 \) electrons, considering both a strong \( \omega = 1 \) and a weak \( \omega = 0.001 \) confinement strength, for the fully spin polarized (one electron per Kohn-Sham orbital) and the non-spin-polarized (two electrons per orbital) cases. As mentioned above, when the confinement is strong the quantum dot is in the high-density
The value electron correlation plays an increasingly prominent role. The Kohn-Sham SCE potentials for ten-electron quantum dots with consecutive bumps. In Fig. 3 we show the self-consistent corresponding to a minimum in the Kohn-Sham potential between electron-gas parameter delocalized within the system. In both cases the values of the smoothed out, and, particularly in the spin polarized dot, quite different orbitals, the resulting densities are rather "thick" or some slight oscillations due to the nodal structure of the function methods. These structures were already observed in the physics of charge localization within the noninteracting KS "bumps" that separate the charge density, capturing the SCE exchange-correlation potential self-consistently builds strongly correlated regime, without introducing any artificial body quantities such as the pair-density distribution [12], which is not accessible in density-functional approaches. Nevertheless, in the KS-SCE approach this internal ordering can be observed by looking at the co-motion functions of the SCE system, as we illustrate in Fig. 4 for the unpolarized dot with \(N = 7\) and \(\omega = 0.001\). The figure shows the co-motion functions \(f_i(r) = (f_i(r), \theta_i(r))\) corresponding to two different configurations, with large and small weight \(\rho(r)/N\) in the infinite superposition of Eq. (8), respectively. For this system, the density consists of a peak in the origin (which integrates to one electron) and a sharp ring surrounding it (integrating to six electrons), as illustrated by the superimposed contour plot (lighter colors: higher values of the density). The large-weight configuration is represented by solid symbols, and the low-weight one by empty symbols. In the first case the regime and well described by the Fermi-liquid shell structure, with a density distribution qualitatively similar to that obtained from the noninteracting Fock-Darwin states of Eq. (2). Besides some slight oscillations due to the nodal structure of the different orbitals, the resulting densities are rather “thick” or smoothed out, and, particularly in the spin polarized dot, quite delocalized within the system. In both cases the values of the electron-gas parameter \(r_s\) are \(\approx 1\).

As the confinement strength becomes weaker, the electron-electron correlation plays an increasingly prominent role. The value \(\omega = 0.001\) corresponds to extremely low-density quantum dots, with \(r_s \approx 68\), significantly larger than the maximum values achieved in previous works using wave-function methods \((r_s \approx 55)\) [2]. From the figure one can see how the density becomes much sharper in the radial direction, forming two very thin concentric rings centered at the origin. Integration of the density reveals the presence of two electrons in the inner ring and of eight electrons in the outer one, in agreement with the “8 + 2” picture of the corresponding classical configuration made up of pointlike charges—see Table 1 of Ref. [45].

It should be stressed that, as clearly seen from Fig. 2, the densities obtained with the KS-SCE approach correctly [12] preserve the fundamental circular symmetry of the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1). When the \(v_{SCE}(r)\) potential, which is constructed from the co-motion functions, is imported into the Kohn-Sham approach, it is able to describe properly the strongly correlated regime, without introducing any artificial spatial or spin symmetry breaking. This happens because the SCE exchange-correlation potential self-consistently builds “bumps” that separate the charge density, capturing the physics of charge localization within the noninteracting KS formalism. These structures were already observed in the case of one-dimensional quantum wires using the KS-SCE approach [30,31], with each maximum in the density corresponding to a minimum in the Kohn-Sham potential between consecutive bumps. In Fig. 3 we show the self-consistent Kohn-Sham SCE potentials for ten-electron quantum dots with \(\omega = 0.001\) and \(\omega = 1\). Indeed, in the first case the potential has a local maximum at the origin and a second one in the middle region, giving rise to the density rings of Fig. 2, also reported again in Fig. 3. In particular, the deep second minimum of the KS potential is responsible for the sharp ring of the density in that region. In this way, restricted KS DFT reproduces the effect of strong correlation by means of a local one-body potential. Conversely, in the weakly interacting case \(\omega = 1\), the Kohn-Sham potential does not display such structures. Here, the minimum of the density at the origin is not due to any maximum in the potential, but simply results from a Fermionic-shell-structure effect. In the same Fig. 3 we also show, as horizontal green dashed lines, the highest occupied KS eigenvalue in both cases. One can clearly see that in the strongly correlated case \((\omega = 0.001)\) the barriers in the KS potential create classically forbidden regions inside the trap, giving rise to charge localization.

In order to visualize the internal ordering of the electrons, in wave-function methods one usually makes use of two-body quantities such as the pair-density distribution [12], which is not accessible in density-functional approaches. Nevertheless, in the KS-SCE approach this internal ordering can be observed by looking at the co-motion functions of the SCE system, as we illustrate in Fig. 4 for the unpolarized dot with \(N = 7\) and \(\omega = 0.001\). The figure shows the co-motion functions \(f_i(r) = (f_i(r), \theta_i(r))\) corresponding to two different configurations, with large and small weight \(\rho(r)/N\) in the infinite superposition of Eq. (8), respectively. For this system, the density consists of a peak in the origin (which integrates to one electron) and a sharp ring surrounding it (integrating to six electrons), as illustrated by the superimposed contour plot (lighter colors: higher values of the density). The large-weight configuration is represented by solid symbols, and the low-weight one by empty symbols. In the first case the
FIG. 4. (Color online) Co-motion functions $f_i(r) = (f_i(r), \theta_i(r))$ for two different configurations of the infinite superposition of Eq. (8), corresponding to the unpolarized dot with $N = 7$ and $\omega = 0.001$. Empty (solid) symbols represent the co-motion functions for a configuration with a small (large) weight. The classical “1+6” configuration is shown in the top right inset for the sake of comparison. The density is also shown as contour plot, with lighter colors indicating higher density regions.

distribution of the co-motion functions closely resembles the classical point-charge configuration for this system, namely the “6+1” distribution with one charge in the origin surrounded by an hexagon made up of the remaining six charges [45]. Notice that in order to yield a smooth density, also unusual configurations (like the one with empty symbols) need to have nonzero weight in the SCE $N$-body density of Eq. (8). However, such configurations have a very small weight in the strongly correlated regime.

B. Addition energies

In quantum-dot systems, the so-called addition energies provide useful information about the electronic structure of the system and can be probed experimentally [46,47]. They are defined as the second energy difference,

$$E_{\text{add},E} \equiv \Delta^2 E(N) = E(N+1) - 2E(N) + E(N-1),$$

(21)

where $E(N)$ is the total energy for the $N$-electron quantum dot. For KS DFT calculations, one can also use the alternative expression

$$E_{\text{add},\text{HO}} = \epsilon_{\text{HO}}(N+1) - \epsilon_{\text{HO}}(N).$$

(22)

It results from the fact that in the exact KS theory—that is, if the exact exchange-correlation potential were used—the highest occupied (HO) Kohn-Sham eigenvalue $\epsilon_{\text{HO}}(N)$ is equal to minus the ionization energy of the physical, interacting, $N$-electron system [48,49], i.e., $\epsilon_{\text{HO}}(N) = E(N) - E(N-1)$.

Notice that whereas the calculation of the addition energies using Eq. (21) requires knowledge about three different systems, the second alternative formula of Eq. (22) only involves two of them. When using approximate functionals, the two expressions will not, in general, give the same results.

Figures 5 and 6 show the KS-SCE addition energies for quantum dots with up to ten electrons computed via Eqs. (21)
and (22), respectively, for different strengths of the confining potential. From both figures one can see that for strong confinement ($\omega = 1$) the addition energies are qualitatively similar to the noninteracting ones. In particular, for the non-spin-polarized systems they show the well-known peaks at $N = 2$ and 6, corresponding to the closure of the first ($m = 0$) and second ($m = \pm 1$) shells, and also the smaller peak at $N = 4$ due to Hund’s rule. In the spin polarized case, instead, the peaks are found at $N = 1$ (first shell, $m = 0$), at $N = 3$ (second shell, $m = \pm 1$) and at $N = 5$ (third shell, $m = \pm 2$).

When the quantum dots become strongly correlated, the shell structure changes radically. The first main well-known feature is a flattening of the addition spectrum (notice that in the figure the energies are divided by $\omega$, which in the low-density cases takes values as low as 0.005 and 0.001). Second, the peak sequence becomes more irregular and resembles qualitatively the equivalent classical point-charge system [2].

V. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

We have demonstrated the feasibility of constructing an exchange-correlation potential for spin-restricted Kohn-Sham density functional theory which is able to describe strong correlation effects in two-dimensional model quantum dots. This functional is derived from the exact properties of the strong-coupling limit of the Hohenberg-Kohn functional. It allows us to treat low-density quantum dots at relatively low computational cost when compared to other commonly employed approaches for studying these systems. Notice that, already for the number of particles and at the low densities considered here, CI calculations are not feasible. In the case of QMC, one has needed, so far, to make use of orbitals localized on different sites, thus breaking the circular symmetry of the system [2]. Our approach is numerically much less expensive, providing access to a broader parameter range than before. It also yields a set of radically new KS orbitals, which could be used in QMC instead of the localized Gaussian ones. In other words, it would be very interesting to see if the KS-SCE orbitals provide good nodes for fixed-node diffusion Monte Carlo at low densities, avoiding the need of breaking the circular symmetry [50].

Overall, this methodology shows the promise of becoming a powerful tool in low-dimensional, low-density, electronic structure calculations. To exploit its full potential, several issues still need to be addressed in future works. First of all, corrections need to be designed to take the effects of the spin state in the SCE functional into account, for example using approximate magnetic exchange and superexchange functionals. Second, an efficient algorithm to solve (exactly or in a reasonably approximate way) the SCE equations for general (noncircularly symmetric) geometry needs to be fully developed. A viable route for this seems to be the dual Kantorovich formulation of the SCE functional [41], whose first pilot implementation [51] has given promising results.
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